



Case Report

How does long term exposure to base stations and mobile phones affect human hormone profiles?

Emad F. Eskander*, Selim F. Estefan, Ahmed A. Abd-Rabou

Hormones Department, Medical Research Division, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 December 2010

received in revised form 2 November 2011

accepted 6 November 2011

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Mobiles

Base stations

Radio frequency radiation

Hormone profiles

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study is concerned with assessing the role of exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) emitted either from mobiles or base stations and its relations with human's hormone profiles.

Design and methods: All volunteers' samples were collected for hormonal analysis.

Results: This study showed significant decrease in volunteers' ACTH, cortisol, thyroid hormones, prolactin for young females, and testosterone levels.

Conclusion: The present study revealed that high RFR effects on pituitary–adrenal axis.

© 2011 The Canadian Society of Clinical Chemists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Because of the increase in the usage of wireless communication devices of mobile phones in recent years, there is an anxious concern on the possible hazardous effects of prolonged exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) [1]. In considering the biological effects of RFR, the intensity and frequency of the radiation and exposure duration are important determinants of the responses.

It has been reported that exposure to RFR could affect the nervous system [2]. Hardell et al. found that cell phone users had an increased risk of malignant gliomas [3]. Subjecting human spermatozoa to RFR showed decrease in sperms motility and vitality and increase in DNA fragmentation [4]. The authors hypothesize that the high sporadic incidence of the clinical symptoms of the autoimmune multiple Sclerosis disease [5] may be a result of long exposure to RFR from mobiles.

This study is concerned with assessing the effect of RFR emitted from mobile phones and base stations on human hormone profiles, with anticipation to offer recommendations to assure health care and safety for humans continuously exposed to radio frequency radiation.

Design and methods

Study subjects

This study was conducted for 6 years on 82 mobile phone volunteers with age ranges 14–22 years ($n=41$) and 25–60 years ($n=41$). Those users were divided into three subgroups according to the time of their exposure to RFR: (weak $n=19$), (moderate $n=9$), and (strong $n=13$) per day, in addition to 20 negative control subjects.

On the other hand, volunteers exposed to RFR emitted from base stations ($n=34$) were selected with age ranges 14–22 years ($n=17$), and 25–60 years ($n=17$) and living at distances 20–100 m and 100–500 m apart from the base station. Additional 10 subjects of each age range living at a distance more than 500 m apart from the base station were considered as negative control group.

The source of the RFR (base stations or mobile phones) was GSM-950 MHz magnetic field and the ICNIRP-Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic field (up to 300 GHz) (International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection). The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Research Centre.

Volunteers inclusion criteria

Volunteers participated in the study fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: age 14–60 years, mobile phone users, or living at distances 20–100 m and 100–500 m apart from the base station.

* Corresponding author at: Hormones Department, Medical Research Division, National Research Centre, Cairo, 12622, Egypt. Fax: +20 2 33370931.

E-mail address: gysi_pharma@yahoo.com (E.F. Eskander).

76 *Blood samples collection*

77 Blood samples of the volunteers were analyzed for estimation of the
78 following hormones: plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, total T₃, T₄, prolac-
79 tin, progesterone, and testosterone levels. All volunteers followed for
80 6 years and the blood samples were collected regularly from mobile
81 phone users, volunteers exposed to RFR emitted from base stations,
82 and the controls for time intervals after 1 year, 3 years and 6 years for
83 hormonal analysis. The determination of the hormonal profile was per-
84 formed on serum samples whereas ACTH was detected in EDTA plasma.
85 The whole blood was collected in EDTA tube.

86 Blood samples were withdrawn from females to measure serum
87 prolactin and progesterone levels. Whereas, blood samples were
88 withdrawn from males to measure serum testosterone level. Blood
89 samples were withdrawn from both males and females to measure
90 plasma ACTH level, serum cortisol, total T₃ and T₄ levels.

91 **Methods**

92 Plasma ACTH, serum total T₃, and T₄ levels were determined quanti-
93 tatively using DSL-ELISA Kits provided by (Diagnostic Systems Labora-
94 tories Inc.). Measurement of serum cortisol level was carried out using
95 ELISA kit provided by Adaltis Italia SPA Company (Italy). Serum prolac-
96 tin, progesterone, and testosterone concentrations were measured
97 using ELISA kit supplied by (DRG International, Inc., USA).

98 *Statistical analysis*

99 The data were analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package
100 for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, 2001).

101 **Results**102 *Volunteers mean hormone values*

103 Follow up data were available for all volunteers who were ex-
104 posed to RFR either from mobiles or base stations. The clinical fea-
105 tures of all individuals were summarized in tables.

106 Tables 1 and 2 illustrate that persons of ages 14–22 years or
107 25–60 years who were exposed, for time intervals extended to
108 6 years, to RFR either from mobile phones or from base stations suffered
109 significant decreases in their plasma ACTH and serum cortisol levels as
110 compared to the control group. High significant decrease ($P < 0.01$) in
111 plasma ACTH and serum cortisol levels was observed for persons ex-
112 posed to RFR from base stations at distances extended from 20 to
113 500 m for a period of 6 years as compared to the control group.

114 Tables 1 and 2, also show that persons of ages 14–22 years and
115 25–60 years who were exposed, for time intervals extended to
116 6 years, to RFR either from mobile telephones or from base stations suf-
117 fered high significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease in their serum T₃ and T₄ levels.

118 Tables 1 and 2 show that young females (14–22 years) exposed to
119 RFR from mobile phones or from base stations at distances 20–100 m
120 and 100–500 m suffered decrease in their serum prolactin level and
121 the rate of decrease significantly rose with increased time of exposure
122 from 1 year up to 6 years. Conversely, the serum prolactin level for
123 adult females (25–60 years) showed significant increase along the
124 time of exposure 1 year up to 6 years.

125 Table 1 shows that serum progesterone levels in young and adult fe-
126 males exposed to RFR from mobile phones were non-significantly chan-
127 ged through exposure for 1 year up to 6 years as compared to healthy
128 controls.

129 Table 2 shows that both young (14–22 years) and adult
130 (25–60 years) females exposed to RFR from base stations did not suffer
131 any change in their serum progesterone levels throughout the first year
132 of exposure. However, with increasing exposure periods from 3 up to

6 years they suffered significant decrease in their serum progesterone
levels.

133
134
135 Tables 1 and 2 illustrate that both young males (14–22 years) and
136 adult males (25–60 years) exposed to RFR from mobile phones or
137 from base stations experienced gradual decrease in their serum tes-
138 testosterone level with increasing the period of exposure.

139 **Discussion**

140 The intensity and frequency of RFR and exposure duration are im-
141 portant determinants of the cumulative effect that could occur and
142 lead to an eventual breakdown of homeostasis and adverse health
143 consequences. Therefore, greater commitment from policy makers,
144 health care officials and providers is needed to raise public awareness
145 about the hazardous outcomes of long term exposure to RFR.

146 As mentioned in our results, persons who were exposed to RFR
147 suffered significant decreases in their ACTH and cortisol levels as
148 compared to controls. This result is agreed with the previous study in-
149 dicated that cortisol levels were decreased after exposure to RF [12].
150 The current result is in contradiction with a previous study indicating
151 that electromagnetic fields have a slight elevation in human cortisol
152 production [6] and with other previous study suggesting that cortisol
153 concentration as a marker of adrenal gland function was not affected
154 with RFR [11]. Djeridane et al. (2008) added that ACTH was not dis-
155 rupted by RFR emitted by mobile phones [12].

156 Our results reveal that persons who were exposed to RFR either
157 from mobile phones or base stations suffered highly significant de-
158 crease in their serum T₃ and T₄ levels which agree in case of low T₄
159 levels and disagree in case of low T₃ concentrations with previous
160 study which suggested that serum T₃ remains in normal range [7].

161 In the present study, females exposed to RFR from mobile phones or
162 base stations suffered change in their serum prolactin level and the rate
163 of change significantly rose with increased time of exposure which is in
164 converse with previous studies indicating that serum prolactin concen-
165 tration remained within normal ranges after exposure to radiocellular
166 phones [8,12]. Therefore, it is suggested that the menstrual cycle and
167 the pregnancy will be affected by changing the level of serum prolactin
168 which seems necessary to be optimized in these two processes.

169 Our study suggested that serum progesterone levels in young and
170 adult females exposed to RFR from mobile phones non-significantly
171 changed from 1 year up to 6 years as compared to healthy controls.
172 So, the menstrual cycle and pregnancy may not be affected by
173 serum progesterone concentration. Previous study revealed that mi-
174 crowaves produced significant increases in serum progesterone
175 level only in pregnant rats [9].

176 In the present study, both young and adult males exposed to RFR
177 from mobile phones or base stations experienced gradual decrease in
178 their serum testosterone level with increasing the period of exposure
179 which is almost the same as previously recent reported studies sug-
180 gested that exposure to mobile radiation leads to reduction in serum
181 testosterone and it possibly affects reproductive functions [10,11]. The
182 present study is in converse with a previous study indicating that tes-
183 testosterone was not disrupted by RFR emitted by mobile phones [12].

184 In conclusion, the present study revealed that high RFR emitted
185 from either mobile phone or base station has tangible effects on pitu-
186 itary–adrenal axis represented in the reduction of ACTH and conse-
187 quently cortisol levels. Also, exposure to RFR is associated with
188 decrease in the release of thyroid hormones.

189 Moreover, our data suggested that each of serum prolactin in
190 young females, and testosterone levels in males significantly dropped
191 due to long-term exposure to RFR. Conversely, the serum prolactin
192 levels for the adult females significantly rose with increasing expo-
193 sure time. Finally, the degenerative effects of exposure to RFR were
194 more pronounced for persons who used mobile phones for long pe-
195 riods of 6 years. Also, the effect of this type of radiation was more

Table 1
Plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, T3, T4, prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone of volunteers exposed to RFR from mobile phones.

| Hormones (mean ± SE) | Groups | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Controls | | | | | | Mobile phone users | | | | | |
| | 1 Year | | 3 Years | | 6 Years | | 1 Year | | | Age ₂ | | |
| | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | M | W | S | M | W |
| Plasma ACTH (pg/mL) | 61.1 ± 1.1 | 63.2 ± 0.1 | 59.9 ± 0.2 | 62.3 ± 1.0 | 59.9 ± 0.3 | 60.2 ± 1.7 | 49.1 ± 0.3 ^b | 55.0 ± 1.1 ^b | 59.2 ± 0.1 ^{NS} | 53.2 ± 1.2 ^b | 58.3 ± 0.4 ^b | 62.1 ± 1.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum cortisol (µg/mL) | 30.0 ± 1.2 | 31.2 ± 0.1 | 30.0 ± 0.1 | 31.7 ± 0.3 | 29.9 ± 0.2 | 28.8 ± 2.3 | 20.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 27.3 ± 0.1 ^a | 30.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 23.9 ± 1.0 ^b | 28.2 ± 0.9 ^b | 30.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum T ₃ (ng/dL) | 105.2 ± 1.3 | 102.0 ± 1.1 | 101.7 ± 1.2 | 98.6 ± 2.1 | 103.6 ± 1.1 | 99.0 ± 1.4 | 96.3 ± 1.2 ^b | 100.0 ± 0.6 ^b | 102.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 93.9 ± 1.1 ^b | 98.1 ± 0.3 ^a | 99.0 ± 0.7 ^a |
| Serum T ₄ (µg/dL) | 7.8 ± 0.6 | 6.9 ± 1.4 | 7.7 ± 1.1 | 6.5 ± 0.7 | 7.1 ± 0.3 | 6.6 ± 2.1 ^b | 6.9 ± 0.1 ^{NS} | 7.0 ± 0.1 ^{NS} | 6.9 ± 0.1 ^{NS} | 6.3 ± 0.8 ^b | 6.2 ± 1.2 ^{NS} | 6.0 ± 1.0 ^{NS} |
| Serum prolactin (ng/mL) | 17.8 ± 1.1 | 17.2 ± 1.2 | 17.3 ± 1.1 | 16.9 ± 1.3 | 17.0 ± 2.1 | 16.8 ± 0.5 | 14.9 ± 1.4 ^a | 14.7 ± 0.3 ^a | 17.3 ± 0.2 ^{NS} | 18.3 ± 0.1 ^a | 16.9 ± 0.3 ^a | 17.1 ± 0.2 ^{NS} |
| Serum progesterone (pg/mL) | 14.0 ± 1.3 | 17.1 ± 1.0 | 13.8 ± 1.2 | 16.9 ± 0.9 | 12.9 ± 1.3 | 16.8 ± 0.2 | 12.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 12.2 ± 1.2 ^{NS} | 14.1 ± 0.7 ^{NS} | 16.1 ± 1.4 ^{NS} | 17.6 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 16.5 ± 0.4 ^a |
| Serum testosterone (pg/mL) | 29.5 ± 1.2 | 25.2 ± 1.6 | 28.9 ± 1.8 | 24.3 ± 0.6 | 28.4 ± 0.3 | 24.0 ± 0.1 | 25.2 ± 0.2 ^a | 24.9 ± 0.1 ^a | 23.7 ± 0.4 ^a | 22.7 ± 1.2 ^a | 23.8 ± 0.4 ^{NS} | 19.9 ± 0.1 ^a |

Age₁ : represents age from 14 to 22 years, Age₂ : represents age from 25 to 60 years. S: represents Strong, M: represents Moderate, W: represents Weak. N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19. Strong use: more than 60 min/day, Moderate use: between 30–60 min/day, Weak use: less than 10 min/day. NS: non-significant change when comparing mobile phone users with controls. ^aSignificant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing mobile phone users with controls. ^bSignificant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing mobile phone users with controls.

Table 1 (continued)

| Hormones (mean ± SE) | Groups | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Mobile phone users | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 Years | | | | | | 6 Years | | | | | |
| | Age ₁ | | | Age ₂ | | | Age ₁ | | | Age ₂ | | |
| | S | M | W | S | M | W | S | M | W | S | M | W |
| Plasma ACTH (pg/mL) | 45.3 ± 0.6 ^b | 51.2 ± 1.3 ^b | 55.0 ± 1.1 ^b | 50.2 ± 0.4 ^b | 55.1 ± 1.1 ^b | 60.0 ± 0.3 ^b | 40.3 ± 0.4 ^b | 41.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 47.2 ± 0.2 ^b | 48.2 ± 0.4 ^b | 51.3 ± 1.3 ^b | 57.2 ± 1.1 ^b |
| Serum cortisol (µg/mL) | 18.3 ± 1.4 ^b | 20.2 ± 1.1 ^b | 25.1 ± 0.1 ^b | 20.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 25.9 ± 0.9 ^b | 20.3 ± 1.2 ^b | 18.0 ± 0.1 ^b | 17.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 20.3 ± 0.2 ^b | 17.0 ± 0.2 ^b | 22.0 ± 0.4 ^b | 24.1 ± 0.2 ^b |
| Serum T ₃ (ng/dL) | 87.2 ± 1.3 ^b | 90.2 ± 1.6 ^b | 94.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 89.8 ± 1.1 ^b | 92.9 ± 1.3 ^b | 95.0 ± 1.1 ^b | 80.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 84.2 ± 0.5 ^b | 85.7 ± 1.1 ^b | 83.2 ± 1.3 ^b | 80.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 90.2 ± 0.7 ^b |
| Serum T ₄ (µg/dL) | 7.9 ± 1.1 ^b | 7.6 ± 1.7 ^{NS} | 7.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 6.4 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 6.3 ± 0.8 ^{NS} | 6.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 10.5 ± 0.1 ^b | 9.5 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 8.9 ± 0.4 ^b | 7.4 ± 0.9 ^{NS} | 7.7 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 8.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum prolactin (ng/mL) | 17.4 ± 1.2 ^a | 9.8 ± 0.3 ^b | 9.7 ± 0.1 ^b | 23.5 ± 0.2 ^b | 19.2 ± 1.1 ^b | 18.7 ± 0.9 ^b | 10.1 ± 1.0 ^b | 8.7 ± 0.3 ^a | 8.7 ± 0.4 ^{NS} | 24.9 ± 0.1 ^b | 21.1 ± 0.3 ^b | 20.6 ± 0.1 ^b |
| Serum progesterone (pg/mL) | 13.9 ± 0.2 ^{NS} | 13.6 ± 0.7 ^{NS} | 13.4 ± 0.4 ^{NS} | 15.1 ± 0.3 ^a | 14.9 ± 0.1 ^a | 13.0 ± 0.5 ^b | 12.9 ± 0.2 ^a | 11.8 ± 0.1 ^a | 10.9 ± 0.3 ^a | 14.8 ± 1.1 ^b | 13.5 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 12.8 ± 0.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum testosterone (pg/mL) | 19.8 ± 0.1 ^b | 18.7 ± 0.2 ^a | 16.5 ± 0.1 ^a | 17.5 ± 0.2 ^b | 16.9 ± 1.1 ^a | 16.1 ± 0.3 ^a | 13.1 ± 0.4 ^b | 12.7 ± 0.2 ^b | 12.3 ± 0.1 ^b | 11.1 ± 1.1 ^b | 11.4 ± 0.2 ^b | 9.8 ± 0.3 ^b |

t1.7

t1.8

t1.6

t1.7

t1.8

t1.9

t1.10

t1.11

t1.12

Table 2
Plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, T3, T4, prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone of volunteers exposed to RFR from base stations.

| Hormones (mean ± SE) | Groups | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Controls (distance 500 m) | | | | | | Volunteers exposed to RFR from base stations | | |
| | 1 Year | | 3 Years | | 6 Years | | 1 Year | | |
| | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₂ |
| Plasma ACTH (pg/mL) | 62.8 ± 1.2 | 58.3 ± 0.9 | 62.5 ± 0.3 | 58.4 ± 0.5 | 62.4 ± 0.7 | 58.9 ± 0.1 ^a | 61.9 ± 0.2 ^{NS} | 62.3 ± 0.1 ^{NS} | 57.9 ± 1.3 ^{NS} |
| Serum cortisol (µg/mL) | 33.3 ± 2.6 | 30.1 ± 1.4 | 32.9 ± 1.1 | 30.3 ± 1.4 | 32.7 ± 1.1 | 29.9 ± 1.9 | 32.4 ± 1.2 ^{NS} | 32.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 28.8 ± 1.6 ^{NS} |
| Serum T3 (ng/ dl) | 108.3 ± 1.6 | 100.0 ± 1.1 | 107.0 ± 1.9 | 100.0 ± 0.1 | 107.0 ± 0.1 | 99.9 ± 1.2 | 107.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 107.9 ± 0.4 ^{NS} | 106.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum T4 (µg/dL) | 7.2 ± 1.3 | 6.3 ± 0.3 | 6.8 ± 1.2 | 6.3 ± 0.1 | 6.7 ± 1.2 | 6.2 ± 2.4 | 6.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 7.1 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 5.9 ± 1.1 ^{NS} |
| Serum prolactin (ng/mL) | 18.3 ± 1.1 | 14.3 ± 1.6 | 18.0 ± 1.0 | 13.9 ± 1.2 | 18.0 ± 1.2 | 13.1 ± 0.2 | 17.6 ± 0.2 ^{NS} | 17.6 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 19.1 ± 0.3 ^b |
| Serum progesterone (pg/mL) | 12.4 ± 1.1 | 10.0 ± 0.8 | 12.3 ± 1.6 | 10.0 ± 0.5 | 12.2 ± 1.9 | 9.8 ± 2.4 | 12.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 12.3 ± 1.0 ^{NS} | 10.1 ± 0.9 ^{NS} |
| Serum testosterone (pg/mL) | 27.1 ± 0.3 | 24.2 ± 1.1 | 26.3 ± 1.1 | 23.2 ± 1.3 | 25.8 ± 1.4 | 22.9 ± 2.1 | 24.3 ± 1.1 ^b | 24.9 ± 1.9 ^{NS} | 20.1 ± 1.1 ^b |

Age₁ : represents age from 14 to 22 years, Age₂ : represents age from 25 to 60 years. D₁ : represents distance from 20 to 100 m, D₂ : represents distance from 100 to 500 m. N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19. NS: non-significant change when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls. ^aSignificant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls. ^bSignificant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.

Table 2 (continued)

| Hormones (mean ± SE) | Groups | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Volunteers exposed to RFR from base stations | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 Year | | 3 Years | | | 6 Years | | | |
| | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₁ | Age ₂ | Age ₂ |
| Plasma ACTH (pg/mL) | 58.0 ± 0.9 ^{NS} | 51.8 ± 1.7 ^b | 54.6 ± 1.1 ^b | 54.2 ± 0.6 ^b | 45.2 ± 1.8 ^{NS} | 47.3 ± 1.3 ^b | 48.3 ± 1.4 ^b | 40.7 ± 0.3 ^b | 43.1 ± 1.1 ^b |
| Serum cortisol (µg/mL) | 29.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS} | 27.2 ± 1.2 ^b | 27.4 ± 2.1 ^{NS} | 25.6 ± 0.1 ^b | 26.6 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 21.2 ± 0.4 ^b | 22.4 ± 1.1 ^b | 22.9 ± 1.1 ^b | 24.2 ± 0.3 ^b |
| Serum T3 (ng/ dl) | 100.1 ± 0.2 ^{NS} | 97.3 ± 1.6 ^b | 98.1 ± 0.9 ^b | 97.4 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 98.2 ± 1.9 ^{NS} | 78.0 ± 1.1 ^b | 82.3 ± 1.9 ^b | 91.3 ± 1.5 ^b | 93.4 ± 1.9 ^b |
| Serum T4 (µg/dL) | 6.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 4.4 ± 1.8 ^{NS} | 4.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 5.1 ± 0.3 ^b | 5.9 ± 0.8 ^{NS} | 2.7 ± 0.1 ^b | 2.8 ± 1.2 ^b | 3.8 ± 1.2 ^b | 3.9 ± 1.9 ^b |
| Serum prolactin (ng/mL) | 19.6 ± 1.1 ^b | 97.3 ± 1.6 ^b | 98.1 ± 0.9 ^b | 97.4 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 98.2 ± 1.9 ^{NS} | 78.0 ± 1.1 ^b | 82.3 ± 1.9 ^b | 91.3 ± 1.5 ^b | 93.4 ± 1.9 ^b |
| Serum progesterone (pg/mL) | 10.5 ± 1.1 ^{NS} | 4.4 ± 1.8 ^{NS} | 4.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS} | 5.1 ± 0.3 ^b | 5.9 ± 0.8 ^{NS} | 2.7 ± 0.1 ^b | 2.8 ± 1.2 ^b | 3.8 ± 1.2 ^b | 3.9 ± 1.9 ^b |
| Serum testosterone (pg/mL) | 20.3 ± 1.6 ^{NS} | 20.2 ± 0.4 ^b | 20.9 ± 0.9 ^b | 18.1 ± 1.1 ^b | 18.6 ± 1.3 ^b | 11.8 ± 0.3 ^b | 10.9 ± 1.6 ^b | 15.3 ± 1.2 ^b | 16.1 ± 1.5 ^b |

196 obvious for persons living nearby base stations and exposed for a pe-
 197 riod of 6 years.

198 References

- 199 [1] World Health Organization. What are the health risks associated with mobile
 200 phones and their base stations? Online Q & A; 2005. 12–05.
 201 [2] Salford L, Henrietta N, Arne B, Gustav G, et al. The mammalian brain in the electro-
 202 magnetic fields designed by man with special reference to blood brain barrier
 203 function, neuronal damage and possible physical mechanisms. *Prog Theor Phys*
 204 *Suppl (Japan)* 2008;173:283–309.
 205 [3] Hardell L, Carlberg M, Hansson MK. Epidemiological evidence for an association be-
 206 tween use of wireless phones and tumor diseases. *Pathophysiology* 2009;16(2–3):
 207 113–22.
 208 [4] Luis Geoffrey N, Newry Rhianon J, King Bruce V, John Aitken R. Mobile phone radiation
 209 induces reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage in human spermatozoa
 210 in vitro. *PLoS One* 2009;4(7):e 6440–6 (Collaghan, New South Wales, Australia).
 211 [5] Beck J, Urmovits HB, Saresella M, Caputo D, et al. Serum DNA motifs predict disease
 212 and clinical status in multiple sclerosis. *J Mol Diagn* 2010;12(3):312–9.
- [6] Mann K, Wagner P, Brunn G, Hassan F, Hiemke C, Roschke J. Effects of pulsed high-
 213 frequency electromagnetic fields on the neuroendocrine system. *Neuroendocri-
 214 nology* 1998;67(2):139–44. 215
 [7] Mortavazi S, Habib A, Ganj-Karami A, Samimi-Doost R, Pour-Abedi A, Babaie A. Al-
 216 terations in TSH and thyroid hormones following mobile phone use. *Oman Med J*
 2009;24(4). 217
 [8] De Seze R, Fabbro-Peray P, Miro L. GSM radiocellular telephones do not disturb
 219 the secretion of antepituitary hormones in humans. *Bioelectromagnetics*
 1998;19(5):271–8. 220
 [9] Nakamura H, Nagase H, Ogino K, Hatta K, Matsuzaki I. Uteroplacental circulatory
 222 disturbance mediated by prostaglandin F(2alpha) in rats exposed to microwaves.
 223 *Reprod Toxicol* 2000;14(3):235–40. 224
 [10] Meo SA, Al-Drees AM, Husain S, Kban MM, Imran MB. Effects of mobile phone ra-
 225 diation on serum testosterone in Wistar albino rats. *Saudi Med J* 2010;31(8):
 226 869–73. 227
 [11] Sarookhani MR, Asiabanha Rezaei M, Safari A, Zaroushani V, Ziaeiha M. The influ-
 228 ence of 950 MHz magnetic field (mobile phone radiation) on sex organ and adre-
 229 nal functions of male rabbits. *Afr J Biochem Res* 2011;5(2):65–8. 230
 [12] Djeridane Y, Touitou Y, de Seze R. Influence of electromagnetic fields emitted by
 231 GSM-900 cellular telephones on the circadian patterns of gonadal, adrenal and pi-
 232 tuitary hormones in men. *Radiat Res* 2008;169(3):337–43. 233
 234

235

UNCORRECTED PROOF